

ACERTIFIED TRUE COPY

JUN 16 2005

ATTEST
FOR THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**RELEASED FOR PUBLICATION****DOCKET NO. 1682****FILED**

JUN 27 2005

RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLANDJUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

JUN 16 2005

FILED
CLERK'S OFFICE**FILED****BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

JUN 17 2005

IN RE HYDROGEN PEROXIDE ANTITRUST LITIGATIONMICHAEL E. KUNZ, Cle
By TJD Dep. Cl*Diamond Chemical Co., Inc. v. Degussa Corp., et al.*, N.D. California, C.A. No. 4:05-711*Finch Pruyn & Co., Inc. v. ATOFINA Chemicals, Inc., et al.*, E.D. Pennsylvania,
C.A. No. 2:05-666**BEFORE WM. TERRELL HODGES, CHAIRMAN, JOHN F. KEENAN, D.
LOWELL JENSEN, J. FREDERICK MOTZ, ROBERT L. MILLER, JR.,
KATHRYNH VRATIL* AND DAVID R. HANSEN, JUDGES OF THE PANEL****TRANSFER ORDER**

This litigation currently consists of two actions pending, respectively, in the Northern District of California and the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.¹ Before the Panel is a motion, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, brought by plaintiff in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania action for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings of the actions in that district. All responding parties agree that 1407 transfer is warranted, but divide their support between two suggested transferee districts. All responding defendants² and plaintiffs in eleven potential tag-along actions across both districts support the Eastern District of Pennsylvania as transferee forum. Plaintiff in the Northern District of California action, and plaintiffs in seven potential tag-along actions pending there, propose that district as an appropriate transferee forum.

A TRUE COPY CERTIFIED TO FROM THE RECORD

DATED: 6/22/05TEST: Tom DwyerDEPUTY CLERK, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

* Judge Vratil took no part in the decision of this matter.

¹ The parties have identified eighteen related actions pending in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and another ten actions pending in the Northern District of California. These 28 actions and any other related actions will be treated as potential tag-along actions. See Rules 7.4 and 7.5, R.P.J.P.M.L., 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001).

² Responding defendants are Akzo Nobel, Inc.; Akzo Nobel Chemicals International B.V.; Atofina Chemicals, Inc.; Arkema, Inc. f/k/a Atofina Chemicals, Inc.; Degussa Corp.; Degussa A.G.; EKA Chemicals, Inc.; FMC Corp.; Solvay Chemicals, Inc.; Solvay Interrox, Inc.; Solvay America, Inc.; and Solvay S.A..

- 2 -

On the basis of the papers filed and hearing session held, the Panel finds that these two actions involve common questions of fact, and that centralization under Section 1407 in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania will serve the convenience of the parties and witnesses and promote the just and efficient conduct of this litigation. Each of the actions now before the Panel is brought under the Sherman Act to recover for injuries sustained as a result of an alleged conspiracy engaged in by overlapping defendants to fix, raise, maintain, or stabilize prices for hydrogen peroxide and its downstream products sodium perborate and sodium percarbonate. Centralization under Section 1407 is thus necessary in order to eliminate duplicative discovery; prevent inconsistent pretrial rulings, including those with respect to class certification; and conserve the resources of the parties, their counsel and the judiciary.

We acknowledge the federal grand jury proceedings in the Northern District of California; however, on balance, we are persuaded that the Eastern District of Pennsylvania is a preferable transferee forum for this litigation. The Eastern District of Pennsylvania has 1) the majority of pending actions; 2) the support of plaintiffs in several potential tag-along actions pending in both districts; 3) the endorsement of all responding defendants; and 4) a nexus to the litigation given the presence of two of the largest domestic producers of hydrogen peroxide – both of which are named as defendants – within blocks of the federal courthouse in this district.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, the action pending in the Northern District of California is transferred to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Stewart Dalzell for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings with the action pending in that district.

FOR THE PANEL:



Wm. Terrell Hodges
Chairman